

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/369,236	08/04/1999	GRANT A. KRAFFT	97002-C 6514	
20306	7590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	LL BOEHNEN HULBER	GUPTA, ANISH		
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, I	L 60606		1654	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/369,23	6	KRAFFT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Anish Gu	•	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-3,11,45 and 46 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,11,45 and 46 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		objected to by the E	Examiner.			
,,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/369,236

Art Unit: 1654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-7-03 has been entered.
- 2. Along with the request for continued examination, Applicants requested the entry of the amendment 6-9-03. This amendment has been entered. Claim 1 was amended and 45-46 were added. Claims 1-3, 11, and 45-46 are pending in this application.

#### New Matter

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-3, 11 and 45-46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims have been amended to recite that the amyloid  $\beta$  ligomers are "free of amyloid  $\beta$  1-40 protein." The specification does not provide any literal or inherent support for this particular limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/369,236

Art Unit: 1654

### Lack of literal support

In reviewing the Application the specification does not provide any literal support for this negative limitation. Whenever discussing the oligomer, the specification does not state that the oligomer has to be "free of amyloid  $\beta$  1-40 protein." The MPEP advises, in section 2163.06 and echoed in 2172.01, that for "newly added or amended claims, applicants should show support in the original disclosure for the new or amended claims." In the amending response, Applicants did not furnish this information and thus it could not be ascertained where Applicants believe is location for the literal support.

## Lack of Inherent Support

It could be argued that the specification contains inherent support by the disclosure of page 14 of the specification. The specification states that amyloid protein 1-40 can be toxic. Therefore, in order to avoid any toxicity it would be implicit that such a fragment is excluded from the oligomer. However, this could be viewed as an implication that oligomer amyloid  $\beta$  1-40 protein alone are to be avoided, especially since it is no stable and cannot be isolated in aqueous solution.. However, trace amounts of amyloid  $\beta$  1-40 protein can still be present. The specification states that the oligomer structure can be obtained from amyloid  $\beta$  protein capable of stably forming the soluble non-fibrillar amyloid  $\beta$  oligomeric structure. Thus, the specification implies that any amyloid  $\beta$  protein is envision so long it is oligomer obtained is soluble and non-fibrillar (see page 14). Taking this interpretation reasonably, if an oligomeric structure of amyloid  $\beta$  is soluble and non-fibrillar, even with the presence of trace amounts of amyloid  $\beta$  1-40, such a structure is permissible. Indeed the controlling factor of the oligomer structure is solubility and non-fibrillar nature. Accordingly, one cannot conclude that there is inherent support of the limitation for the specific exclusion of amyloid  $\beta$  1-40.

Application/Control Number: 09/369,236

Art Unit: 1654

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (703) 308-4001. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can normally be reached on (703)306-3220. The fax phone number of this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

BRENDA BRUMBACK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600